arXiv:1605.09279v1 [math.NT] 30 May 2016

DIVISION BY 2 ON ELLIPTIC CURVES

YURI G. ZARHIN

Let K be a field of characteristic different from 2. Let

$$E : y^2 = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3)$$

be an elliptic curve over K where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are distinct elements of K. Let $P = (x_2, y_2)$ be a K-point on E. It is well known that P is divisible by 2 in $E(K)$ if and only if all three $x_2 - \alpha_i$ are squares in K [2, p. 269–270], [5, Ch. 5, pp. 102–104], [3], [4, Th. 4.2 on pp. 85-87], [1, pp. 331–332], [8, pp. 212–214]; see also [9]). This assertion is traditionally used in the course of a proof of the Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem for elliptic curves. While the proof of the claim that the divisibility implies the squareness is straightforward, it seems that the known elementary proofs of the converse statement are more involved/computational. (Notice that there is another approach that is based on Galois cohomology [6, Sect. X.1, pp. 313–315].)

Here we suggest an elementary proof of the divisibility that seems to be less computational. So, let us assume that all three $x_2 - \alpha_i$ are squares in K. Let $Q = (x_1, y_1)$ be a point on E with $2Q = P$. Since $P \ne \infty$, $y_1 \ne 0$ and therefore the equation of the *tangent line* L to E at Q may be written in the form

$$L : y = lx + m.$$

(Here x_1, y_1, l, m are elements of an overfield of K.) In particular, $y_1 = lx_1 + m$. By definition of Q and L, the point $-P = (x_2, -y_2)$ is the "third" common point of L and E; in particular, $-y_2 = lx_2 + m$, i.e., $y_2 = -(lx_2 + m)$. Standard arguments (the restriction of the equation for E to L, see [7, pp. 25–27], [8, pp. 12–14] [1, p. 331]) tell us that the cubic polynomial

$$(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3) - (lx + m)^2$$

coincides with $(x - x_1)^2(x - x_2)$. This implies that

$$-(l\alpha_i + m)^2 = (\alpha_i - x_1)^2(\alpha_i - x_2) \ \forall i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Since $2Q = P \ne \infty$, none of $x_1 - \alpha_i$ vanishes. Recall that all $x_2 - \alpha_i$ are squares in K and they are obviously distinct. This implies that corresponding square roots [1, p. 331]

$$r_i := \frac{l\alpha_i + m}{x_1 - \alpha_i} = \sqrt{x_2 - \alpha_i}$$

are *distinct* elements of K. In other words, the transformation of the projective line

$$z \mapsto \frac{lz + m}{-z + x_1}$$

sends three distinct K-points $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ to three distinct K-points r_1, r_2, r_3 respectively. This implies that our transformation is *not* constant (i.e., is an honest fractional-linear transformation) and defined over K. Since one of the "matrix entries", -1 is already a nonzero element of K, all other matrix entries l, m, x_1 also lie in K. Since $y_1 = lx_1 + m$, it also lies in K. So, $Q = (x_1, y_1)$ is a K-point of E.

Let us get explicit formulas for x_1, y_1, l, m in terms of r_1, r_2, r_3. We have

$$\alpha_i = x_2 - r_i^2,\ l\alpha_i + m = r_i(x_1 - \alpha_i)$$

and therefore

$$l(x_2 - r_i^2) + m = r_i[x_1 - (x_2 - r_i^2)] = r_i^3 + (x_1 - x_2)r_i,$$

which is equivalent to $r_i^3 + lr_i^2 + (x_1 - x_2)r_i - (lx_2 + m) = 0$ and this equality holds for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. This means that the (monic) cubic polynomial

$$h(t) = t^3 + lt^2 + (x_1 - x_2)t - (lx_2 + m)$$

coinsides with $(t - r_1)(t - r_2)(t - r_3)$. Recall that $-(lx_2 + m) = y_2$ and get

$$r_1r_2r_3 = -y_2.$$

We also get

$$l = -(r_1 + r_2 + r_3),\ x_1 - x_2 = r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1,$$

This implies that

$$x_1 = x_2 + (r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1).$$

Since $y_1 = lx_1 + m$ and $-y_2 = lx_2 + m$, we obtain that

$$m = -y_2 - lx_2 = -y_2 + (r_1 + r_2 + r_3)x_2,$$

and therefore

$$y_1 = -(r_1 + r_2 + r_3)[x_2 + (r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1)] + [-y_2 + (r_1 + r_2 + r_3)x_2],$$

i.e.,

$$y_1 = -y_2 - (r_1 + r_2 + r_3)(r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1).$$

Notice that there are precisely four points $Q \in E(K)$ with $2Q = P$, each of which corresponds to one of *four* choices of three square roots $r_i = \sqrt{x_2 - \alpha_i} \in K$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ with $r_1r_2r_3 = -y_2$ in such a way that the corresponding

$$Q = (x_2 + (r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1), -y_2 - (r_1 + r_2 + r_3)(r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1))\,.$$

Example. Let us choose as $P = (x_2, y_2)$ the point $(\alpha_3, 0)$ of order 2 on E, Then $r_3 = 0$ and we have two arbitrary independent choices of (nonzero) $r_1 = \sqrt{\alpha_3 - \alpha_1}$ and $r_2 = \sqrt{\alpha_3 - \alpha_2}$. Then

$$Q = (\alpha_3 + r_1r_2, -(r_1 + r_2)r_1r_2) = (\alpha_3 + r_1r_2, -r_1(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) - r_2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1))$$

is a point on E with $2Q = P$; in particular, Q is a point of order 4. The same is true for (three remaining) points $-Q = (\alpha_3 + r_1r_2, r_1(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) + r_2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1))$, $(\alpha_3 - r_1r_2, -r_1(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) + r_2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1))$ and $(\alpha_3 - r_1r_2, r_1(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) - r_2(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1))$.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#246625 to Yuri Zarkhin). This note was written during my stay in May-June 2016 at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (Bonn, Germany), whose hospitality and support are gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] E. Bombieri, W. Gubler, Heights in Diophantine Geometry. New Mathematical Monographs, **4**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
[2] J.W.C. Cassels, Diophantine equations with special reference to elliptic curves. J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 193–291.
[3] D. Husemller, Elliptic Curves. Second edition. With appendices by O. Forster, R. Lawrence and S. Theisen. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **111** Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
[4] A. Knapp, Elliptic Curves. Mathematical Notes **40**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992.
[5] S. Lang, Elliptic Curves Diophantine Analysis. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **231**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.
[6] J.S. Silverman, Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, Springer, Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York, 2009.
[7] J.S. Silverman, J.T. Tate, Rational Points on Elliptic Curves. Second Edition. Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, 2015.
[8] L.C. Washington, Elliptic Curves Number Theory and Cryptography. Second edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton London New York, 2008.
[9] J. Yelton, Dyadic torsion of elliptic curves. European J. Math. **1** (2015), no. 4, 704–716.

Pennsylvania State University, Department of Mathematics, University Park, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: zarhin@math.psu.edu